Safflower: A New Host of *Cercospora beticola*
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ABSTRACT


Safflower is an oilseed crop adapted to the small-grain production areas of the western Great Plains, including the Northern Plains Area (NPA). In the NPA, safflower production is being evaluated for potential rotation with sugar beet. Safflower is susceptible to *Cercospora cardhmi*, whereas sugar beet is susceptible to *C. beticola*. *C. cardhmi* has not been observed on safflower in the NPA but *C. beticola* is ubiquitous on sugar beet. Observation of unusual leaf spots on irrigated safflower cv. Centennial at Sidney, MT prompted this investigation of safflower as a potential alternate host of *C. beticola*. Safflower plants were inoculated with four isolates of *C. beticola* (C1, C2, Sid1, and Sid2) and incubated in growth chambers: leaf spot symptoms appeared between 3 and 4 weeks later. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of extracts from lesion leaf tissue with *C. beticola*-specific primers produced fragments comparable with amplified fragments from purified cultures of control *C. beticola*. PCR assay of cultures of single spores from diseased safflower leaf lesions also produced fragments comparable with fragments from *C. beticola* cultures. Antibody that was raised from isolate C2 also bound to antigens from the single-spore cultures of the four *C. beticola* isolates. Inoculum from single-spore cultures from infected safflower also infected sugar beet and produced typical Cercospora leaf spot symptoms. Assay of these leaf lesions by PCR resulted in amplification of target fragments with the *C. beticola*-specific primers. Our results demonstrate that safflower is a new host of *C. beticola*.

Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius L.*) is one of the most important irrigated crops in the NPA. Safflower is increasingly being evaluated for rotation with irrigated sugar beet in the NPA. Safflower and sugar beet are susceptible to *Cercospora cardhmi* Sundar and Ramakr and *C. beticola* Sacc., respectively. Cercospora leaf spot, caused by *C. beticola*, is one of the most important diseases of sugar beet and occurs wherever the crop is grown (3). Without control, the disease results in significant root yield loss and reduced sugar content of sugar beet roots, sugar extraction, and root storage life (13,14). Gross losses can reach as much as 30% (13). The leaf spots are 3 to 5 mm in diameter at maturity and circular, with tan to light-brown and bark-brown to reddish-purple borders. With disease progression, the individual spots coalesce and the heavily infected leaf tissues initially turn yellow and, eventually, necrotic brown. A stroma, the sign of the pathogen, often is visible as minute black dots in the necrotic center (12).

According to Ashri (1), Cercospora leaf spot of safflower caused by *C. cardhmi* first was reported in India in 1924, where epidemics occurred in certain years. He cited several references that indicate that the disease has been observed only in the old world (Africa, Asia, and Europe). In the old world, the disease also has been reported specifically in Pakistan, Iran, and Israel. Symptoms are characterized by round to irregularly sunken brown-black spots up to 1 cm in diameter, with an occasional yellowing tinge at the border. Stromata of the pathogen appear as small black dots in concentric rings on diseased leaves. In severe cases, the infected leaves turn brown and distorted, followed by disintegration. The stem may blacken at the base of severely infected leaves and bending of the stem or die back may result from severe infection (Q. L. Holdeman and W. O. McCartney, personal communication). Unlike leaf blight, which is caused by *Alternaria cardhmi* Chowdhury on safflower, (8,9) *C. cardhmi* has not been observed previously in the NPA. Recently, Mündel and Huang (10) considered Cercospora leaf spot of safflower as mainly widespread in India and Pakistan and, therefore, did not review their control by resistant and cultural practice in the United States. On the other hand, incidence of *C. beticola* is well established in the NPA and there have been significant increased incidences of Cercospora leaf spot of sugar beet in the NPA. Thus, the observation of unusual brown necrotic spots on safflower in the NPA prompted this study of safflower as a potential host to *C. beticola*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Isolates and source.** Four isolates *C. beticola* were evaluated. Isolates race C1 and C2 (18) were provided by John J. Weiland, Agricultural Research Service (ARS), Fargo, ND. Isolates Sid1 and Sid2 were isolated from infected sugar beet at Sidney, Montana by Anthony J. Caesar, ARS–Northern Plains Agricultural Research Laboratory (NPARL), Sidney, MT. Unless stated otherwise, all isolates of *C. beticola* were cultured on potato dextrose agar (PDA; Difco Laboratories, Detroit) at 25°C and maintained on PDA at 4°C.

**Inocula production and pathogenicity test.** Mycelial were suspended in milli-Q water, spread on low-sodium V8 agar plates, and incubated at 25°C under constant incandescent light (15). Spores were harvested after 5 to 10 days and suspended in sterile water containing 0.1% Tween 20. Suspensions were adjusted to 2 × 10⁶ spore/ml of water. Six safflower plants (cv. Centennial; 2) at the six- to eight-leaf-stage were spray inoculated with each of the four *C. beticola* isolates using an atomizer (Sunrise Medical HHG Inc., Somerset, PA). The inoculated plants were incubated under 90% minimum relative humidity.
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Fig. 1. A, Healthy safflower leaf and B, Cercospora beticola (C2)-infected safflower leaves. Lesions are characterized by light-brown round to irregular spots. The dark-brown to black border is noticeable around some of the disease lesions which were positively shown to contain C. beticola. C, Fructifications in leaf spot caused by C. beticola (C2) in safflower.
RESULTS

Between 2 and 3 weeks after inoculation, the first leaf spot symptoms were observed on the safflower plants inoculated with each of the four C. beticola isolates (Fig. 1B). The symptoms appeared as round to irregular light-brown to black spots, frequently with dark-brown to black borders. With the aid of a dissecting scope or microscope at a low magnification (×10), dark-brown to black fructifications of the pathogen could be observed in some of the leaf spot lesions (Fig. 1C). The uninfected control safflower plants did not develop the leaf spot symptoms (Fig. 1A).

PCR assay for C. beticola in safflower symptom tissues. The results of the PCR-based detection of C. beticola in infected safflower tissues is presented in Figure 2A. Expected fragments of all the C. beticola isolates (C1, Sid1, C2, and Sid2) were amplified by the C. beticola actin-specific primers CBACTIN959L and CBACTIN959R.

22°C with a 1/10,000 dilution of horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulins (Sigma-Aldrich) added to each well. After PBST washings, the substrate, consisting of a solution of 3,3′, 5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (0.4 g/liter) (Pierce, Rockford, Illinois) and 0.02% hydrogen peroxide, was added. Absorbance was read at 450 or 655 nm using a BioRad 550 microplate reader (BioRad, Hercules, CA). All incubation steps were performed at room temperature. All samples were processed in triplicate.

Isolation from safflower, infection, and assay of sugar beet for C. beticola. Safflower leaves showing spot symptoms were incubated on wet paper towels in a closed plastic container at 25°C overnight. The spores then were carefully removed with sterile sharp glass tips and transferred to PDA. The cultures were maintained as previously described. Mycelial suspension from the colonies next were transferred to V8 agar to produce spores as previously described. The spores then were used to spray inoculate sugar beet plants as previously described for safflower. The plants were maintained initially under high humidity and then transferred to a growth chamber as described for safflower. Control plants were not inoculated. All plants were observed over a period of 6 weeks for development of symptoms.

After symptoms had developed, the infected sugar beet plants were assayed for infection of C. beticola by PCR. From the treated sugar beet plants, leaf discs (0.6 cm) were removed from the spot lesions as described for safflower. Templates were prepared and subjected to rapid detection by PCR using the previously described protocol. Controls consisted of uninoculated sugar beet plants and DNA extracts from cultures of original C. beticola isolates. All pf the above experiments were repeated at least once.

**Table 1. Detection of Cercospora beticola in safflower leaves using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Stock</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>Sid2</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>Sid1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.008 PBS</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08 PBS</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.38 PBS</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.337 PBS</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.265 PBS</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.240 0.240 0.395</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.337 PBS</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>0.388 0.380 0.472 0.161 0.163</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.265 PBS</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.307 0.536 0.161 0.240 0.240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.337 PBS</td>
<td>1.5 µg/ml 0.595</td>
<td>0.307 0.742 0.161 0.240 0.240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.240 PBS</td>
<td>10 µg/ml 0.153</td>
<td>0.307 0.742 0.161 0.240 0.240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.307 PBS</td>
<td>50 µg/ml 0.337</td>
<td>0.307 0.742 0.161 0.240 0.240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.240 PBS</td>
<td>100 µg/ml 0.530</td>
<td>0.307 0.742 0.161 0.240 0.240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.307 PBS</td>
<td>PBS control 0.008</td>
<td>0.307 0.742 0.161 0.240 0.240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fungi grown in potato dextrose agar, freeze dried, sonicated for 30 s, and dilutions made in carbonate buffer.

a Absorbance values were means of three adjacent wells. All absorbance values were from the same ELISA plate. C. beticola preparations of mycelial culture were from spores of C1, C2, Sid1, or Sid2 isolated from lesions of inoculated safflower leaves; ... = not tested.

b Mycelial dry weight. PBS = phosphate-buffered saline, 0.01 M, pH 7.4.

C. beticola C2 preparations of mycelium from stock culture.

**Fig. 2. Detection of Cercospora beticola in infected safflower by polymerase chain reaction.** A, Direct detection by amplification of C. beticola segments from lesion leaf tissues with actin-specific (lanes 5, 7, 9, 11 and 13) and internal transcribed spacer (ITS; lanes 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14) primers. Lane 1 = 1-kb ladder; 2 = blank control; 3 and 4 = uninfected safflower control; 5 and 6 = Sid1 control culture; 7 and 8 = C1 from infected leaf lesion; 9 and 10 = Sid1 from infected leaf lesion; 11 and 12 = C2 from infected leaf lesion; 13 and 14 = Sid2 from infected leaf lesion. B, Detection by amplification of C. beticola segments from cultures of single spore isolates from diseased safflower leaf lesions with actin-specific (lanes 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11) and ITS (lanes 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12) primers. Lane 1 = 1-kb ladder; 2 = blank control; 3 and 4 = Sid1 control from genomic DNA extract; 5 and 6 = C1 from infected leaf lesion; 7 and 8 = Sid1 from infected leaf lesion; 9 and 10 = C2 from infected leaf lesion; 11 and 12 = Sid2 from infected leaf lesion.

**Fig. 3. A, Sugar beet infected with Cercospora beticola (C2) from previously infected safflower. B, Healthy sugar beet leaf.**
TIN959R (Fig. 2A; lanes 7, 9, 11, and 13 respectively). The amplified 959-bp fragments correspond to the positive control of DNA extract from Sid1 pure culture (Fig. 2A; lane 5). Using the ITS primers, fragments of about 0.6 kbp also were amplified from leaf lesions caused by all the four the C. beticola isolates (Fig. 2A; lanes 8, 10, 12, and 14). The fragments correspond in size with the fragment from the Sid1 positive control culture (Fig. 2A; lane 6). Additional ITS fragments of about 0.7 kbp also were amplified from infected lesions and these correspond to amplified ITS fragments from the uninoculated control safflower plant (Fig. 2A; lane 4). No amplification was observed in the blank control (Fig. 2A; lane 2) and the uninoculated control safflower sample by the 959 actin primers (Fig. 2A; lane 3).

PCR assay of cultures from single spores. The single spores which were isolated from the four C. beticola isolates produced colonies on PDA which were characteristic of C. beticola (data not shown). The PCR results mirrored those of the direct detection of C. beticola from the lesions of infected safflower (Fig. 2A). The blank control (Fig. 2A; lane 2) did not produce any amplified fragment. The purified genomic DNA from the Sid1 isolate produced single 959-bp actin and ITS fragments (Fig. 2A; lanes 3 and 4, respectively). All the expected fragments of all the tested of C. beticola isolates (C1, Sid1, C2, and Sid2) were amplified by the CBACTIN959L and CBACTIN959R primers (Fig. 2A; lanes 5, 7, 9, and 11, respectively). The amplified fragments correspond to the positive control fragment. Using the ITS primers, fragments from all the C. beticola isolates also were amplified from cultures of single spores isolated from infected lesions (Fig. 2B; lanes 6, 8, 10, and 12). However, unlike direct amplification from the safflower lesions, no additional ITS fragments were observed.

ELISA for the detection of C. beticola in safflower. Of the fungi from different genera tested, F. oxysporum, F. proliferatum, F. solani, T. harzianum, T. viride, and T. viens, mildly reacted to our ELISA system (data not shown). To eliminate the unknown antibodies present in serum which cross reacted with the Fusarium and Trichoderma spp. antigens, serum was mixed with pure mycelia followed by high-speed centrifugation (T. C. Caesar-ThonThat, W. L. Shelver, and R. T. Lartey, unpublished). The application of this method diminished the cross reactivity and decreased the absorbance values close to background readings in ELISA tests (data not shown).

By using ELISA of mycelial preparations of C. beticola (isolate C2), the fungus was detected at levels as low as 380 ng/ml. Preparations of mycelia cultured from spores of C1, C2, Sid1, or Sid2 isolated from lesions of inoculated safflower leaves were reactive in ELISA tests; however, mycelia cultured from spores of C2 and Sid2 were more reactive than C1 and Sid1 (Table 1).

Isolation from safflower, infection, and assay of sugar beet for C. beticola. All the sugar beet plants inoculated with inoculum from single-spore cultures of the four isolates which were reisolated from inoculated safflower lesions produced leaf spot symptoms. The first symptoms appeared about 2 weeks after inoculation and were characteristic of Cercospora leaf spot of sugar beet (Fig. 3B). The control plants did not develop leaf spot symptoms (Fig. 3B).

After development of symptoms, the infected sugar beet leaf lesions were examined directly by PCR for presence of C. beticola. The results of the PCR assay for C. beticola in the sugar beet lesions tissues are presented in Figure 4. Expected fragments of all the C. beticola isolates (C1, Sid1 C2, and Sid 2) were amplified using C. actin primers CBACTIN959L and CBACTIN959R (Fig. 4; lanes 7, 9, 11, and 13, respectively). The amplified fragments were about 1 kb and correspond to the amplified fragment from the control C. beticola (Fig. 4; lane 5). However, no amplification by the actin primers was observed in the control uninoculated sugar beet (Fig. 4; lane 3). Using the ITS primers, fragments of the C. beticola isolates also were amplified from the infected lesions (Fig. 4; lanes 8, 10, 12, and 14). These fragments correspond to the ITS from the positive C. beticola control (Fig. 4; lane 6). Additional ITS fragments also were amplified from lesions. These correspond to ITS fragments from the uninfected negative control sugar beet (Fig. 4; lane 4). The double fragments indicate amplification of host plant ITS in addition to that of the pathogen. The manufacturer’s control blank (Fig. 4; lane 2) produced no amplifications.

DISCUSSION

This study presents evidence that safflower is a new host of C. beticola, the causal agent of Cercospora leaf spot of sugar beet. All four tested isolates of C. beticola produced leaf spot symptoms in safflower. We demonstrated the presence of C. beticola in the leaf spot lesions of safflower by PCR and culture of single spores from the lesions. Inocula of all four C. beticola isolates, which were produced from single-spore cultures and reisolated from leaf lesions of diseased safflower, caused typical Cercospora leaf spot symptoms in sugar beet plants. Assay of the sugar beet lesions using the PCR technique also demonstrated the presence of C. beticola in the sugar beet lesions. As further proof of presence of C. beticola spores in the leaves of the infected safflower plants, we subjected cultures of single spores of all four isolates from safflower lesions to an ELISA test. Our test results were consistent with our PCR assay and offered additional proof of the presence of C. beticola in the safflower lesions which were induced by inoculation with C. beticola. Thus, we were able to show that C. beticola can infect safflower under greenhouse conditions and that inoculum from infected safflower also can infect sugar beet.

Both safflower and sugar beet have been reported to be infected by two different species of Cercospora, C. carthami and C. beticola, respectively. In addition to sugar beet, C. beticola can infect many species of nightshade, some on most Beta spp., such as red garden beets, Swiss chard, and mangel-wurzel (7). Several weeds were described by Vestal (16) as susceptible to C. beticola. This list includes Chenopodium album L., Amaranthus retroflexus L., Malva rotundifolia L., Plantago major L., Arctium lappa L., and Lactuca sativa L. In recent years, other common weeds such as mallow, bindweed, (19), winged pigweed, wild buckwheat, and common unicorn flower (4) have been named as hosts of Cercospora beticola. However, infection of sugar beet by C. carthami or safflower by C. beticola has never been previously demonstrated.

To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of infection by C. beticola of safflower, a species of Carthamus, and of the family Asteraceae. It is interesting to note that the observed disease in safflower was not as severe as in sugar beet under our experimental conditions. However, that does not exclude or reduce the likelihood of movement of C. beticola inoculum between the two crops under field conditions. Our studies, which present evidence of safflower as a new host of C. beticola, demonstrate a need for caution in rotation.
between irrigated safflower and sugar beet. Measures should be taken to reduce movement of inoculum between the two crops.
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**Erratum**

A correction was made to this article on August 12, 2005. The image for Figure 4 was incorrect.