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**Highlights**

- Xylulose utilization limited by phosphorylation by xylulokinase in wt *S. cerevisiae*.
- Transport limits xylulose utilization in xylulokinase enhanced strains.
- HXT family of transporters responsible for xylulose transport in *S. cerevisiae*.
- Accounting for cell death produces improved modeling fit of batch fermentation.

**Abstract**

A combination of batch fermentations and genome scale flux balance analysis were used to identify and quantify the rate limiting reactions in the xylulose transport and utilization pathway. Xylulose phosphorylation by xylulokinase was identified as limiting in wild type *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, but transport became limiting when xylulokinase was upregulated. Further experiments showed xylulose transport through the HXT family of non-specific glucose transporters. A genome scale flux balance model was developed which included an improved variable sugar uptake constraint controlled by HXT expression. Model predictions closely matched experimental xylulose utilization rates suggesting the combination of transport and xylulokinase constraints is sufficient to explain xylulose utilization limitation in *S. cerevisiae*.

**1. Introduction**

Lignocellulosic ethanol has the potential to displace the bulk of liquid fuels consumed in the US, but the adoption of biofuels will be driven more by economics than by the desire to decrease GHG emissions. Techno-economic analyses of lignocellulosic ethanol production have the cost of raw feedstocks to be one of the largest contributing factors to ethanol production cost (Sassner et al., 2008; Wingren et al., 2003). An efficient and complete conversion of feedstock sugars to ethanol is therefore required to make ethanol economically competitive with the production of conventional fossil transportation fuels.

Hexose sugars found in lignocellulosic biomass are readily fermentable by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, but the pentose sugar derived from hemicellulose is less readily fermentable. Successful fermentation of the hemicellulose sugars would increase ethanol yield by up to 40% and decrease production cost by upwards of 22% (Kumar et al., 2012). One method to capture this yield increase is to convert the main pentose sugar (xylose) to a more readily utilized form (xylulose) using an extracellular enzyme. Extracellular
xylulose can be utilized by *S. cerevisiae* with greater than 80% efficiency (Gong et al., 1981). Xylulose is taken up by wild-type *S. cerevisiae*, is converted to xylulose-5-phosphate by xylulokinase (XK), and is funneled through the pentose phosphate pathway to eventually produce ethanol. Utilization through this pathway is slow at approximately 1–2 orders of magnitude below *S. cerevisiae*’s glucose consumption rate (4 g/gDCW-h) (Sonneleitner and Kappeli, 1986). Slow utilization increases production costs by requiring some combination of larger fermenters, longer fermentation periods, and increased yeast loading. Increasing the rate of utilization requires an understanding of possible enzymatic and transport bottlenecks. Previous work to expand an enzymatic bottleneck caused by constrained xylulokinase capacity yielded an increase in ethanol production rate from 0.04 to 0.23 grams per gram dry cell weight per hour (g/gDCW-h) (Lee et al., 2003). Other work aimed at increasing the capacity of downstream pentose phosphate pathway enzymes yielded an increase in utilization rate from 0.09 to 0.22 g/gDCW-h (Johansson and Hahn-Hägerdal, 2002; Matsushika et al., 2012).

The ability for enzymatic modifications to increase yield beyond 0.23 g/gDCW-h has not been demonstrated. This may be due to transport becoming the limiting reaction. Xylulose transport in *S. cerevisiae* is not well understood, but xylulose transport has been more extensively studied (Hotta et al., 2009; Runquist et al., 2010; Sedlak and Ho, 2004; Tanino et al., 2010). *S. cerevisiae* does not have xylose specific transporters and xylose competes with hexose sugars for transport through hexose transporters. Xylulose transport may use similar transporters and transport mechanisms to its isomer xylitol.

One attempt to model xylitol transport focused on the transport of xylitol through the native *S. cerevisiae* hexose transporter family (HXT family) (Bertilsson et al., 2007). Using a system of ODE’s based on the known expression patterns and transport kinetics of the individual HXT transporters: the model was able to accurately represent the consumption of sugars in mixed xylitol/glucose fermentation (Bertilsson et al., 2007). Assuming a similar transport pathway, the same technique could help to characterize the mechanism behind xylulose transport.

Flux balance analysis (FBA) is a type of constraint-based modeling that uses physical or chemical constraints to define all allowable sets of fluxes through a network. Constraint-based analysis assumes that the system is at steady state (i.e. that it is not accumulating metabolites and fluxes are constant) and that it is satisfying all physio-chemical constraints (reaction rates are within allowable ranges and metabolites used in all reactions are actually available). Flux balance analysis has been described at length in other works (Kauffman et al., 2003; Orth et al., 2010).

This work attempts to identify enzymatic and transport bottlenecks in the utilization of xylulose in *S. cerevisiae*. Using a flux balance approach in combination with Bertillon’s sugar uptake approximation techniques the proposed bottlenecks are reconciled against the proposed mechanism of xylulose transport.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Fermentation experiments

#### 2.1.1. Yeast strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain definition</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEN.PK2-1C</td>
<td>Parent strain</td>
<td><em>MATa ura3-52 trp1-289 leu2-3,112 his3-11 thr7-4 MAL2-8c URC2</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YRH524</td>
<td>Wild type control</td>
<td>CEN.PK2-1C + pRS414 (empty TRP1-marked vector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YRH857</td>
<td>Low enhanced XK</td>
<td>CEN.PK2-1C + pRH195 (low-copy vector + XKS1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YRH858</td>
<td>High enhanced XK</td>
<td>CEN.PK2-1C + pRH196 (high-copy vector + XKS1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YRH1087</td>
<td>HXT knockout</td>
<td>CEN.PK2-1C hxt1Δ, hxt2Δ, hxt3Δ, hxt4Δ, hxt5Δ, hxt6Δ, hxt7Δ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YRH1153</td>
<td>Wild type control HXT knockout</td>
<td>CEN.PK2-1C hxt1Δ, hxt2Δ, hxt3Δ, hxt4Δ, hxt5Δ, hxt6Δ, hxt7Δ + pRS424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YRH1154</td>
<td>High enhanced XK HXT knockout</td>
<td>CEN.PK2-1C hxt1Δ, hxt2Δ, hxt3Δ, hxt4Δ, hxt5Δ, hxt6Δ, hxt7Δ + pRH196</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Description of strains.

Yeast strains were propagated from stocks under sterile conditions. Colonies were grown on plates and transferred to 25 °C liquid passage media (6% glucose, 6.7 g/L YNB (without amino acids), 2 g/L amino acid dropout mix (lacking tryptophan), 1 ml/L Tween). Cultures were unstirred and were passed every 2–4 days to maintain high cell density. Before experiments, cultures were harvested via centrifugation at 1000g for 10 min, the supernatant decanted, and the pellet re-suspended in deionized water.

#### 2.1.2. Passage conditions

Yeast strains were propagated from stocks under sterile conditions. Colonies were grown on plates and transferred to 25 °C liquid passage media (6% glucose, 6.7 g/L YNB (without amino acids), 2 g/L amino acid dropout mix (lacking tryptophan), 1 ml/L Tween). Cultures were unstirred and were passed every 2–4 days to maintain high cell density. Before experiments, cultures were harvested via centrifugation at 1000g for 10 min, the supernatant decanted, and the pellet re-suspended in deionized water.

#### 2.1.3. Experimental conditions

Batch fermentations were carried out in 25 °C water baths. Media composition was similar to that of passage media with the
exception of the carbon source. Different ratios and concentrations of glucose, xylose, and xylulose were used in each experiment in an attempt to saturate the xylulose utilization of the cells. Measured initial concentrations for each experiment is shown in Table 2. In general glucose concentrations ranged from 0 to 35 g/L, xylose from 5 to 100 g/L, and xylulose from 25 to 100 g/L.

2.1.4. Data collection
Cell density was monitored through offline spectrophotometric absorbance measurements at 660 nm. Media composition was monitored through offline HPLC measurements. HPLC separation was performed on a BioRad HPX-87H column maintained at 65 °C with a 0.01 N H2SO4 mobile phase and a 0.6 mL/min flow rate. Relevant compounds (glucose, xylose, xylulose, acetic acid, lactic acid, succinic acid, glycerol, and ethanol) were quantified via a refractive index detector.

2.2. Basic model structure
This model is based on the previously developed genome scale model iMM904. This model is built into a custom dFBA (dynamic flux balance analysis) loop that integrates fluxes over 30 s time steps (Fig. 1). This technique is similar to that used by Mahadevan et al. (2002) (Mahadevan et al., 2002). In this technique, a vector contains the media metabolite concentrations in moles. The rate of change of each component in z is related to the stoichiometric matrix of the metabolic matrix A (stoichiometry is also in mol units), the rate of each metabolic flux contained in the vector v in units of moles per hour, and the current biomass concentration X in grams per liter (Eq. (1)). In turn, biomass is created according to the growth rate μ, determined as the weighted sum of the reactions that synthesize growth precursors wi.

\[
\frac{dz}{dt} = AvX, \quad \frac{dX}{dt} = \mu X, \quad \mu = \sum w_i v_i
\] (1)

At each iteration of the dFBA the composition of the media is used to calculate new flux bounds for sugar uptake (see Section 2.2.2), a linear solver is used to identify optimal flux rates through the cell, and the media composition is updated to reflect the effects of the optimal flux into and out of the cell. This loop repeats until the designated run length is completed.

2.2.2. Sugar transport model
The sugar transport model developed for xylulose was based on a transport model described originally for xylose transport (Bertilsson et al., 2007). This model assumes all sugar transport to be facilitated by the HXT family of glucose transport proteins. It derives the expression of individual transporters based on glucose concentration in the media (Fig. 2a) (Diderich, 1999; Liang and Gaber, 1996; Ozcan and Johnston, 1995). This relative expression is expressed as \( \theta_{HXT} \), in model Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2). The sugar uptake through each transporter (scaled by the relative expression) was described for both xylose (xyl) and glucose (glu) with Michaelis–Menten kinetics (Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2)). The total transport was equal to the sum of transport through each transporter (Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4)). The model developed in this work includes an additional set of corresponding equations for xylulose (xylu) uptake and incorporates xylulose competitive inhibition into Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2).

\[
V_{HXT}^{\text{glu}} = \theta_{HXT} \times \frac{V_{\text{max, HXT}}^{\text{glu}} \times S_{\text{glu}}}{K_{\text{m, HXT}}^{\text{glu}} \times \left(1 + \frac{S_{\text{xyl}}}{K_{\text{m, HXT}}^{\text{xyl}}} + \frac{S_{\text{glu}}}{K_{\text{m, HXT}}^{\text{glu}}}\right)} + S_{\text{glu}}
\] (2.1)

\[
V_{HXT}^{\text{xyl}} = \theta_{HXT} \times \frac{V_{\text{max, HXT}}^{\text{xyl}} \times S_{\text{xyl}}}{K_{\text{m, HXT}}^{\text{xyl}} \times \left(1 + \frac{S_{\text{xyl}}}{K_{\text{m, HXT}}^{\text{xyl}}} + \frac{S_{\text{glu}}}{K_{\text{m, HXT}}^{\text{glu}}}\right)} + S_{\text{xyl}}
\] (2.2)

### Table 2
Initial conditions for each of seven validation experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Initial glucose (g/L)</th>
<th>Initial xylose (g/L)</th>
<th>Initial xylulose (g/L)</th>
<th>Initial biomass (g/L)</th>
<th>Strains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>22.77 ± 1.89</td>
<td>24.78 ± 1.35</td>
<td>11.11 ± 0.54</td>
<td>11.20 ± 0.31</td>
<td>Wild type XK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>37.51 ± 0.98</td>
<td>20.20 ± 0.52</td>
<td>17.92 ± 0.54</td>
<td>Low enhanced XK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.16</td>
<td>102.85 ± 7.35</td>
<td>37.24 ± 6.15</td>
<td>19.58 ± 1.60</td>
<td>High enhanced XK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>43.47 ± 1.17</td>
<td>60.68 ± 1.18</td>
<td>9.89 ± 1.35</td>
<td>Wild type XK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E5</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>33.45 ± 0.54</td>
<td>71.11 ± 0.97</td>
<td>17.17 ± 0.49</td>
<td>Low enhanced XK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E6</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.32</td>
<td>77.27 ± 3.41</td>
<td>101.29 ± 8.97</td>
<td>11.26 ± 1.31</td>
<td>High enhanced XK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E7</td>
<td>32.59 ± 1.49</td>
<td>34.84 ± 1.27</td>
<td>53.67 ± 3.47</td>
<td>9.88 ± 0.35</td>
<td>Wild type XK, HXT-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E8</td>
<td>33.09 ± 2.20</td>
<td>29.40 ± 0.59</td>
<td>15.77 ± 10.75</td>
<td>10.86 ± 0.42</td>
<td>High enhanced XK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Denotes experiments from which fitting data was drawn.
** Denotes experiments from which fitting data was drawn.
The transport model deviates from Bertilsson’s model in how it imposes a total sugar flux constraint. In Bertilsson’s model, if the sum of calculated sugar fluxes exceeded 4 g/gDCW-h they were scaled proportionally to be within the 4 g/gDCW-h limit while maintaining the ratio of glucose to xylose transport (Eqs. (2.5) and (2.6)). The model in this work seeks to more realistically constrain sugar flux by fitting each maximal sugar flux (in the absence of other sugars) separately and defining the total transport capacity of the transporter set in terms of all transported sugars.

For example, using the a maximal flux rate of 4 g/gDCW-h for glucose and (a hypothetical) 2 g/gDCW-h for xylose, and assuming a uniform transportation rate, the time to transport 1 g/g-DCW glucose would be 15 min while transporting 1 g/gDCW-h of xylose would take 30 min. Therefore the capacity is four units of glucose per hour, or two units of xylose, or some combination of glucose and xylose the sum of which does not violate the transport capacity. It follows that one xylose and two glucose would be acceptable and xylose the sum of which does not violate the transport capacity would take 30 min. Therefore the capacity is four units of glucose per hour, or two units of xylose, or some combination of glucose and xylose (in the absence of other sugars) separately and defining the total transport capacity of the transporter set in terms of all transported sugars.

2.2.3. Alternative sugar transporters

To account for the possibility of non-HXT transporters acting on xylulose an additional transporter was added to the model. This transporter represented the aggregate xylulose transport through all non-HXT transporters. The fitting of this transporter is discussed in Section 3.2.1, but in terms of model development it is of constant expression level and is included in the summed transport levels when calculating the sugar time scalar.

2.2.4. End dynamics of batch fermentation

The model developed here was designed to predict the outcome of a series of batch fermentation experiments. During initial model development it was assumed that all sugar would be consumed. However, experimental data showed that in many cases sugar consumption arrested well before exhaustion. This rarely happens in glucose fermentation in complex media with adequate micronutrients and when ethanol is low (below 100 g/l), but because of the low efficiency with which xylulose is taken up, it was hypothesized that cells may have difficulty reaching the minimum ATP production required for maintenance and that this may have led to cell death. A standard dynamic FBA has no way of modeling this because the required ATP maintenance is a fixed constraint.

In an attempt to better model reality a cell death equation was added to the model. When this reaction was active it decreased viable biomass and returned a percentage the metabolites required for cell growth to the surviving cells. This recycling of nutrients allowed a portion of the cells to produce the minimum ATP required for maintenance, survive, and continue to slowly consume xylulose. After several iterations of cell death, this surviving fraction would be sufficiently small to halt fermentation.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Fermentation results

A major objective of the batch experiments was to identify the bottleneck in xylulose utilization. It had been hypothesized that this bottleneck would either be in the transport of xylulose into the cell or in the conversion of xylulose to xylulose-5-phosphate.
To test this hypothesis experimental results from the wild type, low copy XK, and high copy XK strains were compared. These strains are genetically identical except in the level of XK expression. If, for a given experiment, strains with higher XK capacity showed an increased rate of xylulose utilization it would be concluded that XK was the limiting reaction in the utilization of xylulose. Conversely, if the rates of xylulose utilization (for a single experiment) were the same amongst these strains independent of XK capacity, it would be concluded that XK was not the limiting reaction.

In comparing wild-type (YRH524) S. cerevisiae to strains with excess of XK (with high or low copy XK plasmid), the wild-type strain showed a decreased average xylulose utilization rate over the first 12 h of fermentation in four of seven independent experiments. Under experimental treatments E1, E2, E3, and E4 there was a statistically relevant difference (p-value < 0.05) between the wild-type strain (YRH524) and each of the XK enhanced strains. Experimental condition E3 showed average consumption rates slightly higher in all XK enhanced strains, but the differences were not large enough to be statistically valid (p-value 0.096).

With three of four experimental treatments showing a significantly decreased utilization rate in YRH524 and one additional experiment showing small but statistically insignificant support for this trend (Fig. 3b), this data as a whole supports the hypothesis that XK was limiting in strain YRH524. Additionally, the lack of statistically significant difference between the low and high enhanced strains suggests that XK is not limiting in these strains.

Xylulose transport was another proposed xylulose utilization bottleneck. The HXT family of proteins was previously shown to be active in xylose transport and was hypothesized to be also active in xylulose transport. The expression pattern of the HXT family of proteins is well correlated with the presence of glucose, but the quantity of sugar transported also depended on the concentration of available sugars competing for transporters. In an attempt to understand the kinetics of xylulose transport an attempted was made to saturate xylulose transport when glucose concentration was near zero.

It was expected that xylulose transport would increase according to standard Michaelis–Menten kinetics and eventually saturate. However, when xylulose concentration was compared to xylulose consumption rate, no clear pattern emerged (Fig. 3a). In each experimental case xylulose consumption rate peaked at around 0.26 and 0.18 g/gDCW·h. This suggests that xylulose saturates its transporters at relatively low concentration, but it does not explain why each experiment had a different transport rate at the same low sugar concentration. For example E1 reached 0.18 g/gDCW·h or transport at 15 g/L xylulose, but E6 was only able achieve the same rate of transport at greater than 50 g/l xylulose.

When the same consumption data was plotted against time the consumption rate between experiments appears to be much more consistent. It was noted that the strains used in the experiments were cultured on a glucose media and that the start of each experiment (or in the first few hours for condition E1 in which glucose was present) would correspond to when glucose was exhausted. While the expression of the HXT family of transporters was known, these measurements were taken at near steady state conditions. The rate of change between expression statuses was therefore not determined. Since the strains used in the experiments were cultured on glucose prior to the experiments, time zero (or shortly thereafter for E1 for which the experimental media contained glucose) was when glucose levels would drop from high to low triggering a change in expression pattern. This change may happen over a predictable timeline resulting in the consistent consumption pattern in Fig. 3c. This sort of pattern would be indicative of a transporter expressed under glucose conditions similar to the HXT family of proteins.

To confirm the action of the HXT family of proteins in xylulose transport, a HXT knockout strain that was genetically identical to the High Enhanced XK strain except that it was deficient in HXT transporters 1–7 was utilized. In two of these three experiments utilizing this strain there was a statistically significant decrease in xylulose consumption compared to the non-knockout strain. In the third experiment there was a non-statistically significant difference supporting the same trend (p-value = 0.067) (Fig. 3d). This suggests that the HXT family of proteins is active in xylulose transport, but because the knockout still displayed xylulose transport, the HXT family of proteins is not sufficient to explain all xylulose transport. This could be due to up regulated tertiary transporters discussed further in Section 3.2.

3.2. Modeling results

3.2.1. Modeling fitting

Model parameters (Table 3) were fit in three steps. First, the bounds for the aggregate transporter (accounting for previously unexplained transport of glucose and xylulose in HXT negative strains) were set directly from the observed correlation between transport in HXT negative strains and available glucose. Second, the parameters common to all strains (dV/dt for HXT transport change, xylulose size scalar, xylulose scalar, ATP maintenance, death reaction recovery percent) were set using a particle swarm heuristic. This heuristic sought to minimize the objective function described in Eq. (3). The objective function considered glucose, xylulose, glycerol, acetic acid, lactic acid, and succinic acid concentration in the media at each sample time throughout two experiments with different ratios of glucose, xylulose, and glycerol. This stage of fitting was based on the strain with the highest XK capacity as experimental data suggested that XK was not limiting in this strain.

\[
\text{Objective} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\text{Experimental measurement} - \text{model prediction}| 
\]

Finally, since multiple strains were modeled with differing xylulokinase expression levels and reaction capacities, the upper bounds for XK were fit for each strain. In all but the most XK limited strain the model fit does not improve with constraining XK capacity. For these strains the XK capacity was unconstrained at 1000 mM/gDCW·h.

The fitting of xylulokinase capacity provided support for the experimental finding that XK was limiting in the wild type strain, but not in XK enhanced strains. In the wild type strain, the value of the objective value decreased (became better) as XK capacity was incrementally constrained (Fig. 4a). This suggests that constraint removed unrealistically high capacity before finding an optimal (maximum realizable) XK capacity and finally becoming over constrained causing the objective value to become worse. Constraint did not improve the objective value for either the low-enhanced XK strain (Fig. 4b) or the high-enhanced XK strain (data not shown). This is expected as experimental data suggested XK was not limiting in these strains.

3.2.2. Model validation

This model was fit using a single run from each of two experiments. To validate the model a larger experimental data set was created consisting of seven total experiments. The initial conditions averaged across all strains and replicates (minimum 3) for these experiments are listed in Table 2. Validation runs compared
the measured sugar consumption averaged across all replicates for a given strain at each sample point in an experiment. The three main goals of validation were to (1) verify that the HXT model of sugar uptake was sufficient to explain sugar uptake, (2) show that incorporation of the cell death into the model results in a better model fit to experimentally observed sugar uptake profiles, and (3) consider the accuracy of the model in predicting the production of secondary metabolites.

Overall, modeled xylulose uptake rates during the initial fermentation (before cell death played a large role) closely approximated those observed during batch experiments. The internal dynamics of xylulose consumption were not strictly constrained (except in the wild type XK where XK capacity was constrained in the model). This suggests that the constraint of the xylulose transport pathway was sufficient to approximate xylulose utilization. This points to xylulose transport as the bottleneck for the XK enhanced strains.

The low and high-enhanced strains exhibited strong correlation between the model and experimental data in terms of sugar uptake (Fig. 5b, c, e, f, h and i). The wild type strain performed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter fits used in modeling</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XK capacity</td>
<td>Maximum capacity of xylulokinase (strain wt XK strains, all other strains)</td>
<td>0.4376, 1000 mM/gDCW-h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta max growth ratio</td>
<td>The maximum scalar by which the sugar consumption rate could increase in one time step</td>
<td>1.2932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta max degradation ratio</td>
<td>The minimum scalar by which the sugar consumption rate could decrease in one time step</td>
<td>0.935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylulose time scalar</td>
<td>The time scalar used to compare xylulose and glucose for transport</td>
<td>600.0975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylose time scalar</td>
<td>The time scalar used to compare xylose and glucose for transport</td>
<td>55.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death recovery percentage</td>
<td>The percentage of cell metabolites recovered by living cell population after cell death</td>
<td>66.391%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP maintenance</td>
<td>The ATP cost to maintain a cell</td>
<td>0.988819 mM/gDCW-h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Since xylose consumption was not possible in the model this parameter.
well at lower sugar concentration, but was the poorest fitting for sugar concentrations above 50 g/l (Fig. 5e, f, h and i). For this strain the model predicted premature stoppage for sugar consumption. This trend was exacerbated at high sugar concentration and suggests that XK may have been over constrained or that the required sugar uptake for ATP maintenance was set too high.

The end dynamics of batch fermentation captured more accurately through the implementation of a death parameter in the flux balance model (Fig. 6a). Without this parameter, modeled runs would either consume all available sugar (when ATP maintenance was set very low) or would consume until ATP maintenance could not be satisfied resulting in a model error.

The ability of the death parameter to capture experimental results is highly sensitive to the value of the ATP maintenance parameter which is set as a constant in this model. However ATP maintenance levels for a single organism have been shown to change in correlation with media composition (Olz et al., 1993). Due to this limitation, the model tends to terminate sugar consumption prematurely. This trend is especially apparent in experimental treatments with low starting xylulose concentrations (Fig. 6b). This suggests that the level of sugar required to satisfy ATP maintenance may be lower for low sugar concentration (and thus low ethanol producing indicating lower ethanol stress) experimental conditions and that the death parameter requires greater study. Further, actual cell viability was not measured.
experimentally. Therefore, while the death parameter improves the data fit, the mechanism is not confirmed.

4. Conclusion

The model developed in this work demonstrates that HXT transport limitation and xylulokinase capacity constraint are sufficient to explain sugar transport during mixed sugar fermentation in *S. cerevisiae*. The inclusion of a cell death and metabolite recycle within the model allows for a better representation of end dynamics of batch fermentations. The predictive capabilities of the model allow for the validation of xylulose utilization bottlenecks identified in the experimental work and should allow for more directed modification of *S. cerevisiae* in the future.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.02.015.
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